



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/038,239 01/04/2002		Drue A. Reeves	COMP:0226 P00-3302	7875
7590 07/01/2005			EXAMINER	
Michael G. Fletcher Fletcher, Yoder & Van Someren			LEMMA, SAMSON B	
P.O. Box 69228		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Houston, TX	77269-2289		. 2132	

DATE MAILED: 07/01/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/038,239	REEVES ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Samson B. Lemma	2132				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status		•				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 04 Ja	1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 04 January 2002.					
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This	action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is						
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-22</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-22</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
Certified copies of the priority documents	have been received in Application	on No				
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)						
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>04/15/2002</u> .	6) Other:					
.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL -326 (Rev. 1-04) Office Ac	tion Summary Par	t of Paper No /Mail Date 06232005				



DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 1-22 have been examined.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 3. Claims 1, 4-13: 17-18: 20-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Belanger (hereinafter referred to as Belanger) (U.S. Publication 2002/0059402 A1).
- 4. As per claims 1& 17 Belanger discloses a method for selectively providing data between networked devices, comprising the acts of: storing virtual media resources, a plurality of authorized users, and access rights to the virtual media resources for each of the plurality of authorized users in a remote directory server; [Page 2, paragraph 0030; paragraph 0032; paragraph 0034, last 7 lines; paragraph 0037; paragraph 0059; paragraph 0061-0063] receiving an access request for a desired resource of the virtual media resources at the remote directory server via a network; [page 4, reference 0054; figure 4, reference 52-58] (a user message/request is received at the server) and

Art Unit: 2132

responding to the access request based on the access rights of the authorized users by the remote directory server via the network. [page 6, paragraph 0061-0062; figure 4, reference 60 and paragraph 0063, the last 10 lines.]

- 5. As per claim 4-5, 20 Belanger discloses the method as applied to claims above. Furthermore Belanger discloses the method wherein the act of storing the virtual media resources comprises the act of obtaining a data image of a software resource in a data storage format of a standard data storage media. [page 2, paragraph 0024]
- 6. As per claim 6, 21 Belanger discloses the method as applied to claims above.

 Furthermore Belanger discloses the method wherein the desired resource comprises at least one virtual media image of operating system installation files. [page 2, paragraph 0023 & 0030]
- 7. As per claim 7-9 & 22 Belanger discloses the method as applied to claims above. Furthermore Belanger discloses the method wherein the desired resource comprises at least one virtual media image of application program installation files.[page 1, paragraph 0005, paragraph 0022]
- 8. As per claim 10-13 Belanger discloses the method as applied to claims above.

 Furthermore Belanger discloses the method wherein the act of responding to the access request comprises the act of protecting the virtual media resources against unauthorized access by a requesting user. [page 6, paragraph 0061-0062; figure 4, reference 60 and paragraph 0063, the last 10 lines.]
- 9. As per claim 18 Belanger discloses the method as applied to claims above.

 Furthermore Belanger discloses the method wherein the database, the access protection module, and the request processing module are disposed on a directory server.[page 8, paragraph 0086]

Art Unit: 2132

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 10. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 11. Claims 2-3 & 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Belanger (hereinafter referred to as Belanger) (U.S. Publication 2002/0059402 A1) in view of Microsoft, Active Directory Overview (hereinafter referred to as Microsoft), Published on June 30,1999, Page 1-11; (reference U).
- 12. As per claim 2-3 & 19, Belanger discloses the method of storing virtual media resources on the remote server this eliminates the need for on-site installation and configuration and the server may include dedicate storage for storing application files and other information associated with each users. This dedicated storage creates a virtual hard drive location in the memory for the user, the memory being located on the server, rather than on the user's device. [See Belanger, page 2, paragraph 0024] and Furthermore an access mechanism connected to the server computer for determining access rights to these data files stored in the memory of the server computer. [See page 2, paragraph 0032, last 2 lines page 3, line 1]

Belanger does not explicitly discloses that the act of storing comprises the act of forming a hierarchical structure of the access rights for plurality of authorized users and the hierarchical structure comprises the act of creating an organizational tree wherein each node represents at least one of the resources.

Art Unit: 2132

However, in the same field of endeavor, Microsoft discloses the act of storing comprises the act of forming a hierarchical structure of the access rights for plurality of authorized users and the hierarchical structure comprises the act of creating an organizational tree wherein each node represents at least one of the resources. [Page 3, title "Hierarchal Organization" -page 4; figure 1, 2, & 5, page 7, under the title "Strengthens Security", and page 8]

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art, at the time the invention was made, to combine the features of hierarchical structure access rights and creating an organizational tree as per teachings of Microsoft, in to the method of virtual resources as taught by Belanger, in order to strengthens security. [See Microsoft, page 7, title "Strengthens Security]

- 13. Claims 14-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Microsoft, Active Directory Overview (hereinafter referred to as Microsoft), Published on June 30,1999, Page 1-11; (reference U), in view of Belanger (hereinafter referred to as Belanger) (U.S. Publication 2002/0059402 A1)
- 14. As per claim 14-16 Microsoft discloses a method for selectively providing data between networked devices, comprising the acts of: forming a hierarchical user access tree comprising at least one relationship branch having a plurality of nodes at a plurality of levels ranked with respect to one another; [Page 3, title Hierarchal Organization, Figure 1 &2] associating a plurality of virtual media resources to the plurality of nodes; [page 4, 1st paragraph; figure 1 & 2] storing access rights of authorized users at each of the plurality of nodes; [page 5, figure 2, & page 5, 1st paragraph] processing an access request for a desired

Application/Control Number: 10/038,239

Art Unit: 2132

Page 6

resource of the plurality of virtual media resources by verifying that a requesting user is one of the plurality of authorized users and verifying that the desired resource is within the access rights of the verified requesting user. [Page 7, under the title "Strengthens Security", and page 8; Page 4, last line and figure 5]

Microsoft does not explicitly disclose that the resources are the virtual media resources that can be provided by remote server/remote directory server.

However, in the same field of endeavor, **Belanger** discloses that receiving an access request for a desired resource of the virtual media resources at the remote directory server via a network; [page 4, reference 0054; figure 4, reference 52-58 & paragraph 0024]

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art, at the time the invention was made, to combine the features of virtual resources as per teachings of **Belanger**, in to the method of forming a hierarchical user access tree as taught by Microsoft in order to create a virtual hard drive location in the server rather than on the user's device and access the operating system/remote resources from any user device. [See Belanger paragraph 0023-0024]

Conclusion

15. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. (See PTO-Form 892).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Samson B Lemma whose telephone number is 571-272-3806. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday (8:00 am---4: 30 pm).

Application/Control Number: 10/038,239

Art Unit: 2132

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, BARRON JR GILBERTO can be reached on 571-272-3799. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

SAMSON LEMMA

らし. 08/23/2005

GILBERTO BARRON JX; SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100

Page 7